PARKING COMMISSION MINUTES
December 10, 2014


The meeting was called to order 2:01 p.m. Wallace made a motion to approve the minutes from November 5, which was seconded by Moon. The Commission voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

Reports

Ridership
Kaiser reported that there appears to be a lot of red, but that is easily explained due to having one less day of service on city routes, but the YTD total is up 2.2%.

Old Business

Forbush Clinic
de Vries reported that she spoke with Dr. Forbush, who provided additional data from her previous clinic at Purdue (with agenda). Staniunas made motion to approve visitor permits for lots 12, 13, 14, and 15, which was seconded by Wallace. The Commission voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

New Business

Rules Changes
Hultine explained that the list of changes is recommended in light of changing to License Plate Recognition (LPR) for future enforcement.

- Section 1.4 needs to change language to reflect either physical ticket or sent by email or mail.
- Section 5.0 needs to include requiring registration of license plate, and that an incorrectly registered tag will result in having an invalid permit.
- Section 5.2 needs to be updated to reflect temporary car changes, because LPR system recognizes if there are multiple cars on campus.
- Section 10 needs a new violation requiring that the license plate is visible to the LPR system.

Rule 10.3 also needs clarification that multiple tickets may be issued to the same car if the car parks illegally more than once.

There was discussion about how LPR will function and the timing of implementation. Maginess asked how the license plates from different states are differentiated. Hultine explained that officers can review and approve, fix, or decline a ticket before it is issued. There was additional discussion about warning tickets during an initial grace period and the need for an information campaign. There was discussion about multiple permits usage, and people who swap cars.

Hultine explained that decisions need to be made by February, in order to be implemented with payroll deduction in April. There was also discussion about the number of other changes that have been made.
on campus within the past few years, and Hultine said that splitting the changes into two years was purposeful, because implementing all of the changes at the same time would be too challenging.

Wallace made motion to approve all of the recommended rules changes, which was seconded by Staniunas. The Commission voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

Color Zone Changes
Hultine explained that there are several reasons for each of her proposals, especially the loss of parking along Jayhawk Boulevard and the upcoming closure of lot 33 for construction of the EEEC buildings, as well as the large influx of staff members based in Carruth-O’Leary with the service centers moving there. There was discussion about theater patron and actor parking on basketball game nights; motorcycle parking from lots 33, 41, and 54; the number of staff in the computer center who are eligible for blue; and future changes to lot 90 when the new School of Business opens. There was also discussion about the master plan and current focus on the Central District: an RFP has been issued looking for qualified investors/developers to build more modern science spaces, housing, and parking is definitely a part of that. The issue of color zone changes was tabled for next meeting.

DF Permits
Hultine explained how the lottery for DF permits had been handled, and that those who received yellow permits are parking in lot 72, since we instituted the rule for no overnight parking at the Lied Center. Lot 72 is about 1/4 full every morning. Maginess said that the long walk seems dangerous, especially once it is dark, and that the 30-minute service on route 41 is not sufficient. There was discussion about potential for adding lots 301 and 302 as an approved destination for SafeRide, and a question of whether or not there is capacity to handle these rides on SafeRide. There was discussion about the timing of when Stouffer Place and McCollum will be demolished. The issue was tabled.

Moratorium on Blue and Gold
There was discussion about whether or not it is worth asking for a moratorium on gold permits again, given that it might last only one more year if we’re changing to allocation. There was also discussion about how LPR will function for vehicles passing through future gates on Sunflower Road or Mississippi Street. No action was taken.

Business Days vs Calendar Days
There was discussion about difference between the languages in the rules, compared to how the parking software functions, but no action was taken.

The meeting adjourned at 3:25 p.m.